

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/598,297  <b>Examiner</b> Maureen M. Wallenhorst	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SEKINE ET AL.  <b>Art Unit</b> 1777
---	---	---

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Joseph Kim (Appl. Rep.).

**Date of Interview:** 10 November 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1-4, 7, 13, 15-18, 20*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lumikari et al.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment so as to fully define over the reference to Lumikari et al and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)